Name: Stephen Paul Watson
Address: 101 Rainbow Drive #11203
Livingston, Texas, TX. 77399
Phone:
Fax: n/a
In Pro Per

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Stephen Paul Watson, Pro Se. Plaintiff v. US Department of Justice Defendant(s).	CASE NUMBER: To be supplied by the Clerk of The United States District Court COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF RIGHTS (Non-Prisoner Complaint)

Background

———————————————————————————————

———————————————————————————————

———————————————————————————————

———————————————————————————————

———————————————————————————————

———————————————————————————————

———————————————————————————————

———————————————————————————————

FEDERAL QUESTION

First Amendment

The Cause of Action on 04/13/2026; 4/16/2026; 05/16/2026, 04/03/2024 are selective enforcement; efforts to regulate free speech abusing surveillance and emerging technology weapons. This is oppressive despot behavior, a violation of free speech.

18 USC 242, Bill of Attainder, Check and Balances

The Cause of Action on 04/13/2026; 4/16/2026; 05/16/2026, 04/03/2024, 07/01/2025 alleged by the plaintiff herein are a conspiracy against rights, 18 USC 242 if law enforcement using paternalism and rights erosion; akin to death squads silencing voices. Violates humanitarian standars in UN Charter. UN Human Rights complaint “ WHRC/19871 ” was filed. The Patriot Act, using (TSDS) watch-listing to sanction can be construed as a bill of attainder by targeting individuals. Those in Congress renewing FISA and the Patriot Act have strayed too far away from the US Constitution using loopholes in the War on Terror like state secrecy privilege to bypass guaranteed protections and rights to citizens and a Federal judge agrees, in Elhady v Kable, the absense of pre and post deprivation, lack of grievance process is unconstitutional. The Cause of Action on 04/13/2026; 4/16/2026; 05/16/2026, 04/03/2024, 07/01/2025 negate state secret privilege and other CT loopholes to hide torture, abuse. People know that law enforcement use non-lethal weapons like LRAD. The public already know about warrantless surveillance like Section 702; FISA, Patriot Act. Directed energy weapons (DE IFC) and Active Denial Technology, LRAD, see-through active/passive mmWave detection systems are known so the technology the Plaintiff is describing would not be a secret - it is just novel, part of emerging technologies. Abuse out of secrecy and hurting national security are not the same even if revelation would embarrass the DoJ and anger the public. That ’ s what checks and balances are for - using the legal system when the Constitution is being violated. State Secrets privilege should not be used as a trump card over protections.

Law enforcement